

BEST AVAILABLE COPY



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

52

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/085,530	02/26/2002	Paul M. Hendley	SMQ-085	9799

959 7590 03/25/2005

LAHIVE & COCKFIELD, LLP.
28 STATE STREET
BOSTON, MA 02109

EXAMINER

JEAN GILLES, JUDE

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2143

DATE MAILED: 03/25/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/085,530	Applicant(s) HENDLEY ET AL.	
	Examiner Jude J Jean-Gilles	Art Unit 2143	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 February 2002.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 26 February 2002 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

This office action is responsive to communication filed on 02/26/2002.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

3. **Claims 6, 10-12, 14-17 and 23** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bach et al (Bach), Patent No. 6,141,660.

Regarding **claim 6**, Batch discloses in an electronic device in communication with a network, a method for interacting with a server, comprising the steps of:

receiving a file containing CLI registration information from the server (*see Bach, column 17, lines 3-67*);

storing the file; and parsing the file to decipher information pertaining to CLI commands (*column 17, lines 3-67*).

Regarding **claim 10**, Batch discloses in an electronic server device in communication with a network, a method for interacting with a database (*fig. 1, items 102, and 110-112*), comprising the steps of:

receiving a request from a command line interface client (*column 5, lines 35-67; column 6, lines 1-41; fig. 4, items 100, and 403*);

mapping the request to an instance of an object class (*column 9, lines 37-67; column 10, lines 1-25*);

the object class instance querying the database to respond to the request (*column 10, lines 28-58*);

the object class instance instructing the construction of an outgoing user interface (*column 16, lines 63-67; column 17, lines 1-67*); and

constructing the outgoing user interface and sending a response to the request to the command line interface client (*column 16, lines 63-67; column 17, lines 1-67*).

Regarding **claim 11**, Batch discloses the method of claim 10, wherein the step of receiving a request comprises receiving an interface command from the command line interface client, the interface command being one of a predetermined set of interface commands (*column 16, lines 63-67; column 17, lines 1-67*).

Regarding **claim 12**, Batch discloses the method of claim 10, wherein the step of receiving a request comprises receiving an interface command from the command line interface client, the interface command being an interface command provided by the command line interface client (*column 5, lines 35-67; column 6, lines 1-41; fig. 4, items 100, and 403*).

Regarding **claim 14**, Batch discloses the method of claim 10, wherein the step of the object class instance querying the registration service comprises the object class instance pushing results of the request into a context accessible by a server page for

Art Unit: 2143

constructing the user interface (*column 5, lines 35-67; column 6, lines 1-41; fig. 4, items 100, and 403*).

Regarding **claim 15**, Batch discloses the method of claim 10, wherein the step of instruction the construction of an outgoing user interface comprises a selection of a server page corresponding to the language of the command line interface client request (*column 15, lines 1-28*).

Regarding **claim 16**, Batch discloses the method of claim 10, wherein the request relates to a list of registered applications (*column 5, lines 34-67*).

Regarding **claim 17**, Batch discloses the method of claim 10, wherein the database comprises a registration service (*column 7, lines 53-67*).

Regarding **claim 23**, Batch discloses a computer readable medium containing a software tool for executing a method in an electronic server device in communication with a network for interacting with a database (*fig. 1, items 102, and 110-112*), the method comprising the steps of:

receiving a request from a command line interface client (*column 5, lines 35-67; column 6, lines 1-41; fig. 4, items 100, and 403*);

mapping the request to an instance of an object class (*column 9, lines 37-67; column 10, lines 1-25*);

the object class instance querying the database to respond to the request (*column 10, lines 28-58*);

the object class instance instructing the construction of an outgoing user interface (*column 16, lines 63-67; column 17, lines 1-67*); and

constructing the outgoing user interface and sending a response to the request to the command line interface client (*column 16, lines 63-67; column 17, lines 1-67*).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. **Claims 1-5, 7-9, 18-22, and 24-** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bach, in view of Chen et al (Chen), U.S. Patent No. 6,625,590 B1.

Regarding **claim 1**, Bach discloses the invention substantially as claimed. Bach teaches in an electronic server device in communication with a network, a method for interacting with a client, comprising the steps of:

downloading a file containing CLI registration information to the client (*column 10, lines 27-58; column 17, lines 1-12*); and

receiving at least one update from the client (*column 11, lines 9-21*). However, Bach does not specifically teach an update containing at least one client originating CLI command.

In the same field of endeavor, Chen discloses "*a client command line Interface to create new Unified Command Interface suitable for other accessing other objects ...*" [see Chen, *column 7, lines 25-42; column 9, lines 2-27*].

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the networking art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated Chen's teachings to use a client originating CLI command, with the teachings of Bach, for the purpose of *"providing integration of features such as automation, bundling of commands, script processing, and cut-and-paste editing operation in a command prompt user interface..."* as stated by Chen in lines 40-42 of column 1. Bach also provides motivation to combine by promoting used of improved techniques for accessing hierarchical data through a client CLI to minimize the effort involved in developing new application programs..." in lines 31-35 of column 4. By this rationale **claim 1** is rejected.

Regarding **claim 2**, the combination Bach-Chen discloses the method of claim 1, further comprising storing the at least one client originating CLI command [see *Bach, column 11, lines 9-21*]. The same motivation used for **claim 1** is also valid for **claim 2** [see Chen, column 1, lines 40-42; see Bach, column 4, lines 31-35]. By this rationale **claim 2** is rejected.

Regarding **claim 3**, the combination Bach-Chen discloses the method of claim 1, wherein the update comprises at least one update file [see *Chen, column 7, lines 25-42*]. The same motivation used for **claim 1** is also valid for **claim 3** [see Chen, column 1, lines 40-42; see Bach, column 4, lines 31-35]. By this rationale **claim 3** is rejected.

Regarding **claim 4**, the combination Bach-Chen discloses the method of claim 3, further comprising downloading the at least one update file containing at least one client originating CLI command to a second client [see *Chen, fig. 2, item 62*; see *Bach, fig. 1,*

Art Unit: 2143

104]. The same motivation used for **claim 1** is also valid for **claim 4** [see Chen, column 1, lines 40-42; see Bach, column 4, lines 31-35]. By this rationale **claim 4** is rejected.

Regarding **claim 5**, the combination Bach-Chen discloses the method of claim 3, wherein the file and the at least one update file are XML files [see *Bach*, column 5, lines 35-45; column 11, lines 9-21]. The same motivation used for **claim 1** is also valid for **claim 5** [see Chen, column 1, lines 40-42; see Bach, column 4, lines 31-35]. By this rationale **claim 5** is rejected.

Regarding **claim 7**, the combination Bach-Chen discloses the method of claim 1, further comprising establishing an update file containing at least one client originating command [see *Bach*, column 17, lines 3-67]. The same motivation used for **claim 1** is also valid for **claim 7** [see Chen, column 1, lines 40-42; see Bach, column 4, lines 31-35]. By this rationale **claim 7** is rejected.

Regarding **claim 8**, the combination Bach-Chen discloses the method of claim 7, further comprising sending the update file containing at least one client originating CLI command to the server [see *Bach*, column 10, lines 47-67]. The same motivation used for **claim 1** is also valid for **claim 8** [see Chen, column 1, lines 40-42; see Bach, column 4, lines 31-35]. By this rationale **claim 8** is rejected.

Regarding **claim 9**, the combination Bach-Chen discloses the method of claim 1, further comprising receiving an additional file containing CLI registration information comprising a new CLI command from a distinct client [see *Bach*, fig. 1, items 102, 110-112]. The same motivation used for **claim 1** is also valid for **claim 9** [see Chen, column 1, lines 40-42; see Bach, column 4, lines 31-35]. By this rationale **claim 9** is rejected.

Regarding **claim 13**, the combination Bach-Chen discloses the method of claim 10, wherein the step of mapping comprises the controller servlet mapping the request to the object class instance. Examiner takes notice that an the use of a controller servlet for mapping a request to a object class instance is well-known in the art and that it would have been obvious for an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to integrate this mapping techniques into the invention of Bach to obtain the current invention. By this rationale **claim 13** is rejected.

Regarding **claim 18**, the combination Bach-Chen discloses in an electronic client device, a method for interacting with a server, comprising the steps of:

 sending a request from a command line interface of a command line interface client to a server[*fig. 4, item 100, 403; column 5, lines 35-67; column 6, lines 1-41*]; and

 receiving a response to the request at the command line interface client, such that the command line interface client can present a user with the response [*fig. 4, item 100, 403; column 5, lines 35-67; column 6, lines 1-41*];

 wherein the command line interface client utilizes at least one of commands originating at the client [see *Chen, column 7, lines 25-42; column 9, lines 2-27*] and commands originating at the server [see *Bach, column 11, lines 9-21*]. The same motivation used for **claim 1** is also valid for **claim 18** [see *Chen, column 1, lines 40-42; see Bach, column 4, lines 31-35*]. By this rationale **claim 18** is rejected.

Regarding **claim 19**, the combination Bach-Chen discloses the method of claim 18, further comprising the step of automatically downloading commands from the server upon connection with the server [see *Bach, column 12, lines 50-64*]. The same

Art Unit: 2143

motivation used for **claim 1** is also valid for **claim 19** [see Chen, column 1, lines 40-42; see Bach, column 4, lines 31-35]. By this rationale **claim 19** is rejected.

Regarding **claim 20**, the combination Bach-Chen discloses the method of claim 18, wherein the server includes an application registration service [see *Bach, column 5, lines 34-64; column 10, lines 47-67*]. The same motivation used for **claim 1** is also valid for **claim 20** [see Chen, column 1, lines 40-42; see Bach, column 4, lines 31-35]. By this rationale **claim 20** is rejected.

Regarding **claim 21**, the combination Bach-Chen discloses a computer readable medium containing an HTTP based software command line interface tool, comprising:

a predetermined set of commands for executing tasks [see *Bach, column 17, lines 3-67*]; and

a protocol for automatic connection with a remote session and management of such connection, including downloading of commands from a server of the remote session [see *Chen, column 8, lines 5-61*];

wherein the interface tool enables a client to add new interface commands to the interface tool and remotely execute the new interface commands [see *Chen, column 8, lines 5-61*]. The same motivation used for **claim 1** is also valid for **claim 21** [see Chen, column 1, lines 40-42; see Bach, column 4, lines 31-35]. By this rationale **claim 21** is rejected.

Regarding **claim 22**, the combination Bach-Chen discloses a computer readable medium containing a software tool for executing a method in an electronic server device

Art Unit: 2143

in communication with a network for interacting with a client, the method comprising the steps of:

downloading a file containing CLI registration information to the client [see *Bach*, column 10, lines 27-58; column 17, lines 1-12]; and

receiving at least one update from the client [see *Bach*, column 11, lines 9-21].
containing at least one client originating CLI command [see *Chen*, column 7, lines 25-42; column 9, lines 2-27].

The same motivation used for **claim 1** is also valid for **claim 22** [see *Chen*, column 1, lines 40-42; see *Bach*, column 4, lines 31-35]. By this rationale **claim 22** is rejected.

Regarding **claim 24**, the combination *Bach-Chen* discloses a computer readable medium containing a software tool for executing a method in an electronic client device for interacting with a server, the method comprising the steps of:

sending a request from a command line interface of a command line interface client to a server[*fig. 4, item 100, 403; column 5, lines 35-67; column 6, lines 1-41*]; and

receiving a response to the request at the command line interface client, such that the command line interface client can present a user with the response[*fig. 4, item 100, 403; column 5, lines 35-67; column 6, lines 1-41*];

wherein the command line interface client utilizes at least one of commands originating at the client [see *Chen*, column 7, lines 25-42; column 9, lines 2-27] and commands originating at the server [see *Bach*, column 11, lines 9-21]. The same

Art Unit: 2143

motivation used for **claim 1** is also valid for **claim 24** [see Chen, column 1, lines 40-42; see Bach, column 4, lines 31-35]. By this rationale **claim 24** is rejected.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from examiner should be directed to Jude Jean-Gilles whose telephone number is (571) 272-3914.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday and every other Friday from 8:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wiley, can be reached on (571) 272-3923. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-3719.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.


Jude Jean-Gilles

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2143

JJG

March 18, 2005



DAVID WILEY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100